www.conditionmed.org



REVIEW ARTICLE | OPEN ACCESS

Role of inflammatory signaling pathways in the efficacy of immunization with neural-derived peptides in spinal cord injury

Antonio Ibarra¹, Elisa García¹

Laboratory evidence suggests that immunization with neural-derived peptides (INDP) exerts neuroprotection against spinal cord injury (SCI). However, the degree of neuroprotection by INDP is affected by the severity of SCI, with lack of functional outcomes in severe SCI after INDP treatment. Because inflammation is closely associated with SCI, a close examination of the resulting inflammatory response may provide clues into the role of inflammatory signaling pathways in SCI pathology and the treatment with INDP. This review article reports on the recent observations that expression of inflammation-related genes (interleukin [IL]-6, IL-12, IL-1 β , interferon gamma [IFNy], tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF α], IL-10, IL-4, and insulin growth factor-1 [IGF-1]) may differ between moderate and severe SCI-exposed animals treated with INDP peptides, namely A91 and Cop-1. Of interest, INDP treatment in moderate SCI triggers a significant reduction in the expression of pro-inflammatory genes IL-6, IL-1 β , and TNF α , and an increase in the anti-inflammatory genes IL-10, IL-4, and IGF-1. In contrast, the reverse was observed with severe SCI in that INDP produced an increase in the expression of IL-12, IL-1 β , IFNy (pro-inflammatory genes), and IGF-1. An in-depth understanding of the inflammatory signaling pathways after SCI may guide optimization strategies for INDP to enhance protective autoimmunity.

Keywords: Immunization with neural-derived peptides, spinal cord injury, protective autoimmunity, inflammation, A91, Cop-1

Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is followed by several auto-destructive events including intracellular calcium overload, neural fiber injury, metabolic impairment, destruction of microvessels, and breakdown of the blood-spinal cord barrier. In addition, the recruitment of immunological cells such as neutrophils, hematogenous macrophages, and T lymphocytes to the site of injury, along with the activation of resident microglia, triggers an inflammatory response. Several cells and pro-inflammatory cytokines are involved in the inflammatory response, which aggravates lipid peroxidation, free radical production, and demyelination, leading to extensive secondary tissue damage (Bethea et al., 2002; Hausmann et al., 2003; Ibarra et al., 2010). This intensified inflammatory reaction can trigger a pathological autoreactivity response mainly mediated by the activation of T lymphocytes. In this pathological condition, the activation of the pro-inflammatory Th1 phenotype increases demyelination and injury size. On the other side, the activation of a Th2 phenotype could trigger an anti-inflammatory response

inducing a neuroprotective microenvironment (Ibarra et al., 2010; Schwartz et al., 2001a, b).

Regulation of immune response and protective autoimmunity for SCI treatment

Immunization with neural-derived peptides (INDP) elicits neuroprotective effects against SCI (Ibarra et al., 2018). The level of SCI may affect the therapeutic effects of INDP (Ibarra et al., 2018). Indeed, INDP is ineffective in severe SCI. Interestingly the expression of inflammation-related genes (interleukin [IL-6], IL-12, IL-1 β , interferon gamma [IFNY], tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF α], IL-10, IL-4, and insulin growth factor-1 [IGF-1]) has been analyzed by quantitative PCR in moderate and severe animal models of SCI subjected to INDP (Ibarra et al., 2018). In particular, the effects of INDP have been tested by using two different peptides: A91 and Cop-1 (Ibarra et al., 2018). After a moderate SCI, significant decreases in pro-inflammatory gene expression (IL-6, IL-1 β , and TNF α) and increases in anti-inflammatory gene expression (IL-10, IL-4, and IGF-1) have been observed. On the contrary

¹Centro de Investigación en Ciencias de la Salud (CICSA), FCS, Universidad Anáhuac México Campus Norte; Huixquilucan, Edo. de México, Mexico.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. José Juan Antonio Ibarra Arias (jose.ibarra@anahuac.mx).

after severe SCI, INDP elicits an increase in the expression of IL-12, IL-1 β , IFN γ (pro-inflammatory genes), and IGF-1. Altogether these data indicate that severe injury is not conducive to the beneficial effects of protective autoimmunity (PA) (Ibarra et al., 2018).

The immune system has a central role in the pathophysiology secondary to SCI (Donnelly et al., 2008). Modulation, rather than inhibition, of the immune response has been shown to be advantageous and stimulates neurological recovery after injury (Garcia et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Barrera et al., 2013).

PA is a novel approach characterized by the modulation of the immune response after traumatic injuries to the central nervous system (Berger et al., 1997; Hauben et al., 2000; Linington et al., 1993). PA is promoted by immunizing with non-encephalitogenic INDP, including A91 or Cop-1 (Schwartz et al., 2001a; Gaur et al., 1997). A91 is a myelin basic protein (MBP)-derived peptide (sequence of amino acids 87–99), derived by substitution of lysine with alanine at residue 91 (Gaur et al., 1997; Katsara et al., 2009; Samson et al., 1995). Cop-1 is another peptide able to regulate PA. Cop-1 is a random polypeptide synthesized from four amino acids (L-tyrosine, L-glutamic acid, L-alanine, and L-lysine) with an average molar fraction of 0.141, 0.427, 0.095, and 0.0338, respectively (Guan et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2007). However, the underlying mechanisms of the beneficial actions of PA are still unknown. Gene expression could be one of the main mechanisms that underlie the protective effects of PA. Inflammation-related genes like IL-6, IL-12, IL-1 β , interferon γ (IFNy), TNF α , IL-10, IL-4, and IGF-1, could affect cell function over minutes to hours, or longer periods of time, in a pro- or anti-inflammatory way (Donnelly et al., 2008). However, the neuroprotective effects elicited by INDP have been to be ineffective against severe injuries (Martiñon et al., 2007).

Inflammatory response in moderate and severe SCI after INDP

It has been hypothesized that the absence of a neuroprotective effect exerted by INDP could be associated with the upregulation of pro-inflammatory and down-regulation of antiinflammatory genes (Figure 1). In this regard, Garcia et al., (2018) analyzed the expression of eight inflammation-related genes in moderate and severe SCI animal models immunized with A91 or Cop-1 peptides (Garcia et al., 2018). In different in vivo models of SCI, changes in gene expression have been reported as an early response (Carmel et al., 2001; Song et al., 2001; Tachibana et al., 2002). Changes in gene expression can be determined after a traumatic insult. Numerous studies have analyzed post-traumatic gene expression in order to identify the biological and functional outcome of SCI (Aimone et al., 2004; Di Giovanni et al., 2003). Garcia et al., (2018) analyzed the expression of inflammatory-related genes such as IL-6, IL-12, IL-1 β , IFN γ , TNF α , IL-10, IL-4, and IGF-1, in moderate and severe SCI (Garcia et al., 2018). Interestingly, anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-4 and IL-10 increased in moderate SCI after INDP, suggesting a crucial role for these two cytokines in the protection and restoration of neural tissue (Garcia et al., 2018, Walsh et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2009). Moreover, the cytokine profile induced by INDP in this moderate SCI model plays a key role in the modulation of the inflammatory response as it is involved in the activation, differentiation, and proliferation of Th2 lymphocytes (Hausmann et al., 2003). In the same way, this cytokine pattern stimulates M2 macrophage differentiation, promotes macrophage expression of the major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II), and reduces the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6, and TNFa production (Becker and Daniel, 1990; Cao et al., 1989; Crawford et al., 1987; Gerrard et al., 1990; Hart et al., 1989). Indeed, in the study of Garcia et al., (2018), the INDP-



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of SCI severity and effects of INDP on inflammation. Following moderate SCI, INDP treatment leads to a dampened pro-inflammatory response and an enhanced antiinflammatory reaction. On the other hand, after severe SCI, INDP treatment drives the pro-inflammatory response.

treated moderate SCI rats showed a significant reduction in inflammatory cytokines (Garcia et al., 2018). The importance of this effect is underscored by the fact that regulation of $TNF\alpha$, a pro-inflammatory cytokine that stimulates several factors that exacerbate inflammation, such as IL-8, IL-6, IL-1, nitric oxide, peroxide, and prostaglandin (Esposito and Cuzzocrea, 2009, 2011). Interestingly, evidence has shown that INDP can decrease inflammation and lipid peroxidation (Ibarra et al., 2003). On the contrary, INDP was ineffective after severe injury (Martiñón et al., 2012). Garcia et al., (2018) examined the reason for this lack of effect and found a significant increase in pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in the severe SCI animal model after immunization with A91 or Cop-1 peptides (Garcia et al., 2018). Notably, INDP increased the expression of IL-12, IL-1β, and IFNy in this SCI model (Garcia et al., 2018). However, the underlying mechanism of this cytokine pattern of expression induced by INDP is still unknown.

High concentrations of tissue protein-like damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) could activate that pattern of inflammatory gene expression by activating the NFkB signaling pathway (Kigerl et al., 2009; Mills et al., 2011). Indeed, severe SCI results in a higher release of DAMPs and neural constituents than moderate SCI. Therefore, a shift of the immune response towards DAMPs along with INDP could shift the immune response towards a Th1 encephalitogenic phenotype (Bielekova et al., 2010). The predominant Th1 phenotype could inhibit the proliferation of Th2 protective cells, and consequently its beneficial roles (Martiñón et al., 2012).

Previous studies have shown that severe injury or excessive administration of INDP can inhibit the beneficial effect of PA (Martiñon et al., 2007, 2012). However, a significant increase in IGF-1 in A91 and Cop-1 immunized animals with severe SCI, has been reported by Garcia et al., (2018). IGF-1, as well as transforming growth factor- β , and plateletderived growth factor, could be produced by peripheral and resident macrophages. IGF-1 is also released by astrocytes and endothelial cells. Interestingly IGF-1 down-regulates several pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 (Higashi et al., 2010). The enhanced IGF-1 concentration could be a response to the high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF α , IL-1 β , and IL-6), especially in the severe SCI animal model.

Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 can decrease IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-8, IL-12, IFN α , inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and TNF α production (Clemens et al., 1991; Howard and O'Garra, 1992; Moore et al., 2001). Previous reports suggested that the application of IL-10 could reduce the inflammatory response and increase neuron survival after SCI (Abraham et al., 2004; Brewer et al., 1999). Garcia et al. (2018) showed that animals with INDP subjected to severe SCI did not have any significant production of IL-10 (Garcia et al., 2018).

On the other hand, rats with moderate SCI had a significant production of that cytokine (Garcia et al., 2018). These results support the hypothesis that an intense inflammatory response in severe SCI influences the effects of INDP, while INDP promotes beneficial effects in moderate SCI in which the microenvironment is propitious for neuroprotection and neurogeneration.

Interestingly, A91 and Cop-1 can induce the production of neurotrophic factors such as brain derived neurotrophic factor, neurotrophin 3, and IGF-1 (Martiñón et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Barrera et al., 2017; Binbin et al., 2010). In turn, these neurotrophic factors could modulate cytokines production by either reducing IL-1 β and TNF α or increasing IL-10 (Martiñon et al., 2016; Ji et al., 2015). Accordingly, the pattern of cytokine expression reported by Garcia et al., (2018) could be due to neurotrophic factor production (Garcia et al., 2018).

Conclusion and future directions

INDP is neuroprotective in SCI animal models by upregulating anti-inflammatory and down-regulating pro-inflammatory genes, correlating with a previous study that showed a reduction in iNOS expression and nitric oxide production after INDP treatment (Garcia et al., 2012). Moreover, IL-10 and IL-4 can down-regulate iNOS expression (Garcia 2012). Finally, further studies on the use of INDP against SCI are needed to expand our knowledge into its neuroprotective effects and its potential to treat SCI.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Abraham KE,Mcmillen D, Brewer KL (2004). The effects of endogenous interleukin-10 on gray matter damage and the development of pain behaviors following excitotoxic spinal cord injury in the mouse. Neuroscience 124(4):945– 952.
- Aimone JB, Leasure JL, Perreau VM, Thallmair M; Christopher Reeve Paralysis Foundation Research Consortium (2004). Spatial and temporal gene expression profiling of the contused rat spinal cord. Exp Neurol 189(2):204–221.
- Becker S, Daniel EG (1990). Antagonistic and additive effects of IL-4 and Interferon-y on human monocytes and macrophages: effects on Fc receptors, HLA-D antigens, and superoxide production. Cell Immunol 129(2):351– 362.
- Berger T, Weerth S, Kojima K, Linington C, Wekerle H, Lassmann H (1997). Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: the antigen specificity of T lymphocytes determines the topography of lesions in the central and peripheral nervous system. Lab InvestMar 76(3):355-364.
- Bethea JR, Dietrich WD (2002). Targeting the host inflammatory response in traumatic spinal cord injury. Curr Opin Neurol 15(3): 355–360.
- Bielekova B, Goodwin B, Richert N, Cortese I, Kondo T, Afshar G, Gran B, Eaton J, Antel J, Frank JA, McFarland HF, Martin R (2010). Encephalitogenic potential of the myelin basic protein peptide (amino acids 83-99) in multiple sclerosis: results of a phase II clinical trial with an altered peptide ligand. Nat Med 6(10): 1167–1175.
- Binbin Y, Shan L, Song W, Xiao Z, Shi L, Yuan H (2010). Copolymer-1 immunization reduces damage in retinal ganglion cells under high intraocular pressure through altering the expression of retinal neurotrophins. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther 26(1):11–19.
- Brewer KL, Bethea JR, Yezierski RP (1999). Neuroprotective effects of interleukin-10 following excitotoxic spinal cord

injury. Exp Neurol 159(2):484-493.

- Cao H, Wolff RG, Meltzer MS, Crawford RM (1989). Differential regulation of class II MHC determinants on macrophages by IFN-gamma and IL-4. J Immunol 143(11): 3524–3531.
- Carmel JB, Galante A, Soteropoulos P, Tolias P, Recce M, Young W, Hart RP (2001). Gene expression profiling of acute spinal cord injury reveals spreading inflammatory signals and neuron loss. Physiol Genomics 7(2):201–213.
- Clemens JA, Ho PP, Panetta JA (1991). LY178002 reduces rat brain damage after transient global forebrain ischemia. Stroke 22(8):1048–1052.
- Crawford RM, Finbloom DS, Ohara J, Paul WE, Meltzer MS (1987). B cell stimulatory factor-1 (interleukin 4) activates macrophages for increased tumoricidal activity and expression of Ia antigens. J Immunol 139(1):135–141.
- Di Giovanni S, Knoblach SM, Brandoli C, Aden SA, Hoffman EP, Faden AI (2003). Gene profiling in spinal cord injury shows role of cell cycle neuronal death. Ann Neurol 53(4):454–468.
- Donnelly DJ, Popovich PG (2008). Inflammation and its role in neuroprotection, axonal regeneration and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol 209(2):378– 388.
- Esposito E, Cuzzocrea S (2009). TNF-alpha as a therapeutic target in inflammatory diseases, ischemia reperfusion injury and trauma. Curr Med Chem 16(24):3152–3167.
- Esposito E, Cuzzocrea S (2011). Anti-TNF therapy in the injured spinal cord. Trends Pharmacol Sci 32:107–115.
- Garcia E, Silva-García R, Mestre H, Flores N, Martiñón S, Calderón-Aranda ES, Ibarra A (2012). Immunization with A91 peptide or copolymer-1 reduces the production of nitric oxide and inducible nitric oxide synthase gene expression after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci Res 90:656–663.
- Garcia E, Silva-García R, Flores-Romero A, Blancas-Espinoza L, Rodríguez-Barrera R, Ibarra A (2018). The severity of spinal cord injury determines the inflammatory gene expression pattern after immunization with neural-derived peptides. Journal of Molecular Neuroscience 65:190–195.
- Gaur A, Boehme SA, Chalmers D, Crowe PD, Pahuja A, Ling N, Brocke S, Steinman L, Conlon PJ (1997). Amelioration of relapsing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis with altered myelin basic protein peptides involves different cellular mechanisms. J Neuroimmunol 74(1–2):149–158.
- Gerrard TL, Dyer DR, Mostowski HS (1990). IL-4 and granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor selectively increase HLADR and HLA-DP antigens but not HLA-DQ antigens on human monocytes. J Immunol 144(12):4670–4674.
- Guan L, Eisenstein TK, AdlerMW, Rogers TJ (1997). Inhibition of T cell superantigen responses following treatment with the kappa-opioid agonist U50,488H. J Neuroimmunol 75(1–2):163–168.
- Hart PH, Vitti GF, Burgess DR, Whitty GA, Piccoli DS, Hamilton JA (1989). Potential antiinflammatory effects of interleukin 4: suppression of human monocyte tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin 1, and prostaglandin E2. Proc Natl Acad Sci 86(10):3803–3807.
- Hauben E, Nevo U, Yoles E, Moalem G, Agranov E, Mor F, Akselrod S, Neeman M, Cohen IR, Schwartz M (2000). Autoimmune T cells as potential neuroprotective therapy for spinal cord injury. Lancet 355(9200):286–287.
- Hausmann ON (2003). Post-traumatic inflammation following spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 41(7):369–378.
- Higashi Y, Sukhanov S, Anwar A, Shai SY, Delafontaine P (2010). IGF-1, oxidative stress and atheroprotection.

Trends Endocrinol Metab 21(4):245-254.

- Howard M, O'Garra A (1992). Biological properties of interleukin 10. Immunol Today 13(6):198–200.
- Ibarra A, Correa D, Willms K, Merchant MT, Guízar-Sahagún G, Grijalva I, Madrazo I (2003). Effects of cyclosporin-A on immune response, tissue protection and motor function of rats subjected to spinal cord injury. Brain Res 979(1– 2):165–178.
- Ibarra A, García E, Flores N, Martiñón S, Reyes R, Campos MG, Maciel M, Mestre H (2010). Immunization with neural-derived antigens inhibits lipid peroxidation after spinal cord injury. Neurosci Lett 476(2):62–65.
- Ibarra A, Mendieta-Arbesú E, Suarez-Meade P, García-Vences E, Martiñón S,
- Rodriguez-Barrera R, Lomelí J, Flores-Romero A, Silva-García R, Buzoianu-Anguiano
- V, Borlongan CV, Frydman TD. Motor Recovery after Chronic Spinal Cord
- Transection: A Proof-of-Concept Study evaluating a Combined Strategy. CNS Neurol
- Disord Drug Targets. 2018 Nov 4. doi: 10.2174/187152731766 6181105101756. [Epub

ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 30394222.

- Ji XC, Dang YY, Gao HY, Wang ZT, Gao M, Yang Y, Zhang HT, Xu RX (2015). Local injection of Lenti–BDNF at the lesion site promotes M2 macrophage polarization and inhibits inflammatory response after spinal cord injury in mice. Cell Mol Neurobiol 35(6):881–890.
- Katsara M, Yuriev E, Ramsland PA, Tselios T, Deraos G, Lourbopoulos A, Grigoriadis N, Matsoukas J, Apostolopoulos V (2009). Altered peptide ligands of myelin basic protein (MBP87–99) conjugated to reduced mannan modulate immune responses in mice. Immunology 128(4):521–533.
- Kigerl KA, Gensel JC, Ankeny DP, Alexander JK, Donnelly DJ, Popovich PG (2009). Identification of two distinct macrophage subsets with divergent effects causing either neurotoxicity or regeneration
- in the injured mouse spinal cord. J Neurosci: Off J Soc Neurosci 29(43):13435–13444.
- Linington C, Berger T, Perry L, Weerth S, Hinze-Selch D, Zhang Y, Lu HC, Lassmann H, Wekerle H (1993). T cells specific for the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein mediate an unusual autoimmune inflammatory response in the central nervous system. Eur J Immunol 23(6):1364– 1372.
- Liu J, Johnson TV, Lin J, Ramirez SH, Bronich TK, Caplan S, Persidsky Y, Gendelman HE, Kipnis J (2007). T cell independent mechanism for copolymer-1-induced neuroprotection. Eur J Immunol 37(11): 3143–3154.
- Martiñon S, García E, Flores N, Gonzalez I, Ortega T, Buenrostro M, Reyes R, Fernandez-Presas AM, Guizar-Sahagún G, Correa D, Ibarra A (2007). Vaccination with a neural-derived peptide plus administration of glutathione improves the performance of paraplegic rats. Eur J Neurosci 26(2):403–412.
- Martiñón S, García E, Gutierrez-Ospina G, Mestre H, Ibarra A (2012). Development of protective autoimmunity by immunization with a neural-derived peptide is ineffective in severe spinal cord injury. PLoS One 7(2):e32027.

- Martiñon S, García-Vences E1, Toscano-Tejeida D1, Flores-Romero A1, Rodriguez-Barrera R1, Ferrusquia M1, Hernández-Muñoz RE4, Ibarra A (2016). Longterm production of BDNF and NT-3 induced by A91immunization after spinal cord injury. BMC Neurosci 17:42.
- Mills KH (2011). TLR-dependent Tcell activation in autoimmunity. Nat Rev Immunol 11:807–822.
- Moore KW, de Waal Malefyt R, Coffman RL, O'Garra A (2001). IL-10 and the IL-6 receptor. Ann Rev Immunol 19(1):683–765.
- Rodríguez-Barrera R, Fernández-Presas AM, García E, Flores-Romero A, Martiñón S, González-Puertos VY, Mestre H, Flores-Dominguez C, Rodriguez-Mata V, Königsberg M, Solano S, Ibarra A (2013). Immunization with a neuralderived peptide protects the spinal cord from apoptosis after traumatic injury. Biomed Res Int 2013:827517.
- Rodríguez-Barrera R, Flores-Romero A, Fernández-Presas AM, García-Vences E, Silva-García R, Konigsberg M, Blancas-Espinoza L, Buzoianu-Anguiano V, Soria-Zavala K, Suárez-Meade P, Ibarra A (2017). Immunization with neural derived peptides plus scar removal induces a permissive microenvironment, and improves locomotor recovery after chronic spinal cord injury. BMC Neurosci 18:7.
- Samson MF, Smilek DE (1995). Reversal of acute experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and prevention of relapses by treatment with a myelin basic protein peptide analogue modified to form long-lived peptide-MHC complexes. J Immunol 155(5):2737–2746.
- Schwartz M (2001a). Harnessing the immune system for neuroprotection: therapeutic vaccines for acute and chronic neurodegenerative disorders. Cell Mol Neurobiol 21(6):617–627.
- Schwartz M, Kipnis J (2001b). Protective autoimmunity: regulation and prospects for vaccination after brain and spinal cord injuries. Trends Mol Med 7(6):252–258.
- Song G, Cechvala C, Resnick DK, Dempsey RJ, Rao VL (2001). GeneChip analysis after acute spinal cord injury in rat. J Neurochem 79(4):804–815.
- Tachibana T, Noguchi K, Ruda MA (2002). Analysis of gene expression following spinal cord injury in rat using complementary DNA microarray. Neurosci Lett 327(2):133–137.
- Walsh JT, Hendrix S, Boato F, Smirnov I, Zheng J, Lukens JR, Gadani S, Hechler D, Gölz G, Rosenberger K, Kammertöns T, Vogt J, Vogelaar C, Siffrin V, Radjavi A, Fernandez-Castaneda A, Gaultier A, Gold R, Kanneganti TD, Nitsch R, Zipp F, Kipnis J (2015). MHCIIindependent CD4+ T cells protect injured CNS neurons via IL-4. J Clin Invest 125(2):699–714.
- Zhou Z, Peng X, Insolera R, Fink DJ, Mata M (2009) IL-10 promotes neuronal survival following spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol 220(1):183–190.